UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/569,484	12/14/2006	Joerg Eickemeyer	P29283	3437
	7590 11/05/200 & BERNSTEIN, P.L.0		EXAMINER	
1950 ROLAND	CLARKE PLACE		BONK, TERESA	
RESTON, VA	20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3725	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/05/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/569,484	EICKEMEYER ET	AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	TERESA BONK	3725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by static Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a diod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ditute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this constant the mailing date of this constant to the constant that the constant to the constant that the cons	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) T 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal ma	•	merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	drawn from consideration.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawin	nnce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documed a. ☐ Copies of the priority documed a. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burnets * See the attached detailed Office action for a light series.	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 6 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Goyal (US PGPUB 2004/0003768), newly cited. Goyal discloses a method for producing metallic flat wires or strips with a cube texture, comprising processing a material based on nickel, copper, gold, or silver (Paragraph 0028) into a wire ((Paragraph 0010) having an essentially circular cross section (Paragraph 0013) by a cold drawing method with high-grade forming over multiple drawing stages (Paragraphs 0024 and 0033), achieving a total cross-sectional reduction $\varepsilon g \ge 90\%$ (Paragraphs 0066 and 0067), and then further processing the wire by further forming and annealing methods (Paragraphs 0018-0021) into a flat wire or a strip with a cube texture and having a width that can be adjusted in a defined manner, the defined width being determined and adjusted by the wire cross section of the wire having an essentially circular cross section and degrees of forming of further forming steps for the wire.

With regards to claims 6 and 12-15, 6. Goyal also discloses not including an intermediate treatment (secondary recrystallization) of the wire before the further forming and annealing methods (Examples 3 and 10-14).

Art Unit: 3725

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 11, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goyal in view of Hodsden (US Patent 6,024,080), previously cited. Goyal discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for wherein the cold drawing method is carried out in respectively alternating drawing directions (reversibly). Hodsden teaches a cold drawing method carried out in respectively alternating drawing directions (reversibly), Claim 3. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to enable the drawing method to have alternating drawing directions because combining prior art elements according to known methods yields predictable results. It is also noted that in the Goyal reference, which discloses both rolling and drawing, the rolling method is disclosed as reverse rolling in each pass (Paragraph 0088).

Claims 3-4, 7-8, and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goyal in view of Dameron, Jr. et al. (US Patent 4,280,857), previously cited and Bertolini (US Patent 6,449,997), previously cited. Goyal discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for wherein the cold drawing method is implemented as slip drawing by drawing dies having drawing angles 2 $\alpha \le 12^{\circ}$. Dameron, Jr. et al. teaches a drawing and annealing system that uses a conventional slip drawing machine (Column 2, lines 20-22). Therefore, it would have

Art Unit: 3725

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the cold drawing method as slip drawing because "such an operation is highly efficient and economical" (Column 2, lines 34-35). Bertolini teaches a cold drawing wire implemented by drawing dies having drawing angle of 2 $\alpha \le 12$ (Column 2, lines 63-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the particular drawing angles to the slip drawing die because applying a known technique to a known device ready for improvement to yield predictable results.

Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goyal in view of Hodsden and Dameron, Jr. et al and Bertolini. The combination of Goyal and Hodsden disclose the invention substantially as claimed except for wherein the cold drawing method is implemented as slip drawing by drawing dies having drawing angles 2 $\alpha \le 12^{\circ}$. Dameron, Jr. et al. teaches a drawing and annealing system that uses a conventional slip drawing machine (Column 2, lines 20-22). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the cold drawing method as slip drawing because "such an operation is highly efficient and economical" (Column 2, lines 34-35). Bertolini teaches a cold drawing wire implemented by drawing dies having drawing angle of 2 $\alpha \le 12$ (Column 2, lines 63-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the particular drawing angles to the slip drawing die because applying a known technique to a known device ready for improvement to yield predictable results.

Application/Control Number: 10/569,484 Page 5

Art Unit: 3725

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to TERESA BONK whose telephone number is 571-272-1901. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Dana Ross can be reached on 571-272-4480. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dana Ross/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3725

Teresa M. Bonk

Examiner

Art Unit 3725

Application/Control Number: 10/569,484

Page 6

Art Unit: 3725